PELHAM ROAD ELEMENTARY 100 All Star Way Greenville, South Carolina 29615 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 631 Students ENROLLMENT Nancy Brantley 864-281-1234 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. William E. Harner 864-241-3456 BOARD CHAIR Tommie E. Reece 864-271-3619 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 17 IMPROVEMENT RATING: GOOD ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 19 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	Good	N/A
2002	Excellent	Good	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	Yes
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

34.5

28.8

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

, ,			
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	36	111	61
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	90.7%	96.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	91.8%	91.7%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	100.0%	90.0%	100.0%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

2301079 PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 99.4 50.3 321 11.5 32.1 6.1 56.4 17.6 Gender Male 165 98.8 15.1 34.0 47.8 3.1 50.9 17.6 Female 100.0 7.8 30.1 52.9 9.2 62.1 17.6 156 Racial/Ethnic Group 99.6 7.7 31.0 54.4 6.9 61.3 17.6 White 253 African-American 97.6 41.0 35.9 23.1 N/A 23.1 17.6 41 Asian/Pacific Islander 15 100.0 N/A 21.4 71.4 7.1 78.6 17.6 Hispanic 100.0 17.6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 10 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 30.4 62.8 256 6.8 56.4 6.4 17.6 Disabled 65 96.9 30.6 38.7 25.8 4.8 30.6 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 321 99.4 11.5 32.1 50.3 6.1 56.4 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 99.4 11.6 31.8 50.5 6.1 56.6 17.6 320 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 95.1 41.7 41.7 13.9 2.8 16.7 17.6 41 Full-pay meals 280 100.0 7.6 30.8 55.1 6.5 61.6 17.6 Mathematics All students 321 100.0 10.2 34.5 28.8 26.5 55.3 15.5 Gender Male 100.0 10.6 33.1 29.4 26.9 56.3 165 15.5 Female 100.0 9.8 35.9 28.1 26.1 54.2 15.5 156 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 6.0 34.3 30.6 29.0 59.7 15.5 253 African-American 41 100.0 35.0 37.5 17.5 10.0 27.5 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander 15 100.0 N/A 21.4 35.7 42.9 78.6 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A N/A 10 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 28.8 15.5 256 6.8 32.8 31.6 60.4 Disabled 100.0 23.8 17.5 15.5 65 41.3 17.5 34.9 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A N/A Non-migrant 321 100.0 10.2 34.5 28.8 26.5 55.3 15.5 English Proficiency

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A

10.3

35.1

6.9

N/A

34.3

40.5

33.7

1

320

41

280

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

N/A

28.8

16.2

30.4

N/A

26.6

8.1

29.0

N/A

55.4

24.3

59.4

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

PAC	T PERFC								
		Enolif	and Testing	, ad	alow Basic	,ic /	Proficient old	Advanced Advanced	tient and districted
		Chrolit	940, 64, 0%	Lested ala Be	Flon .	Basic ol	Stor.	Advice Profit	cientanted Advanced
		/ • •	7	English	n/Langua	/		/ •(•	
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Grade 3	104	N/A	9.7	24.3	55.3	10.7	66.0	
	Grade 4	115	N/A	6.1	34.2	53.5	6.1	59.6	
2	Grade 5	107	N/A	20.2	32.7	42.3	4.8	47.1	
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 3	103	99.0	8.0	25.0	55.0	12.0	67.0	
	Grade 4	104	100.0	14.9	22.8	56.4	5.9	62.4	
2003	Grade 5	114	99.1	11.7	46.8	40.5	0.9	41.4	
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

				M	athematic	S		
	Grade 3	104	N/A	10.7	40.8	16.5	32.0	48.5
	Grade 4	115	N/A	13.0	34.8	23.5	28.7	52.2
2002	Grade 5	107	N/A	16.0	36.8	33.0	14.2	47.2
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	103	100.0	5.9	32.7	29.7	31.7	61.4
	Grade 4	104	100.0	14.9	29.7	24.8	30.7	55.4
2003	Grade 5	114	100.0	9.9	40.5	31.5	18.0	49.5
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

0	our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 631)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	1.4%	Down from 2.0%	1.2%	2.4%
Attendance rate	97.0%	Down from 97.3%	96.6%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	44.0%	Up from 43.3%	42.1%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	8.3%	Down from 9.7%	4.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	0.5%	Up from 0.2%	0.5%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 37)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	54.1%	Up from 52.5%	54.1%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	94.6%	Up from 92.5%	90.2%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year		Down from 89.6%	88.5%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	98.2%	No change	96.0%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$43,018	Up 3.3%	\$40,378	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	9.8 days	Down from 11.1 days	10.1 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.2 to 1	Up from 20.8 to 1	20.0 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	94.6%	Down from 95.2%	91.7%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,342	Up 5.8%	\$5,633	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	70.1%	Up from 69.7%	70.2%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Down from 99.1%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuff	ent Sample
--	------------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Pelham Road Elementary, a unique blend of traditional studies and technology, is always "open" to the communities it represents. Because of the outstanding diversity of our communities representing thirty-two countries and nineteen languages spoken in our homes, we are definitely "a place called school." The team effort of our staff, parents, and other community helpers make it work to help ALL of our children learn. Our vision is to work cooperatively to help all children develop the skills needed to become lifelong learners. "United We Stand" has been our motto this year. With the help of our corporate sponsor, Hanover Mortgage, our Town Council hosted FIRST FRIDAY every month for the purpose of building unity within the student body by giving back to our communities through community service projects. Our students and staff gave gifts and a party to our local fire department, adopted a child in Haiti, and contributed \$4,000 to the "Make- A-Wish Foundation" for a little boy with a brain tumor. After-school-enrichment clubs - Author's Clubs, Puppeteers, Math Club, National Junior Beta Club, along with Math Super Stars, Accelerated Readers, and SEEDS, provided activities that complemented our District's Education Plan by enhancing the critical thinking skills and the communication and writing skills of our students. All students and teachers had the opportunity to improve their writing skills with a part-time writing consultant who taught in every classroom as well as conducted in-service training for our teachers. Our first and second graders needing that extra push in reading were tutored by a part-time reading aide. The ELA, Math and technology programs were also strengthened by the continuation of a part-time technology coordinator and the addition of a full-time Instructional Coach who both proved to be valuable resources for our students and teachers. These consultants were provided by monies from our ACT 135 funds.

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.